2

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

REMARKS 1

> Claims 1 and 6 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claim 7 has been amended in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, as kindly suggested by the examiner to be allowable. Claims 2 and 4 remain in the application and are allowable, because they have been amended to depend from claim 7 now believed allowable. Claims 3, 5, and 8-23 remain unchanged and depend from claims now believed allowable. Accordingly included is a listing of all claims and those amended are presented in only marked up version in compliance with the latest REVISED AMENDMENT FORMAT to CFR Title 37 Sec. 1.121. and Published on the PTO web site on Feb. 26, 2003.

> The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 with respect to the lack of antecedent basis for "the frid foods and "excesss oil" now appear in the preamble of amended claim 7 and are believed not tenable.

> Both the specification and drawings have been further review and applicant has not become aware of any subsequent errors.

> In view of the foregoing remarks and amendments, it is believed that this application is in condition for allowance.

> Reconsideration and a favorable action are now kindly requested, and in the event that this specification or claims should require any further amendment, the kind assistance of the Examiner in entering an Examiner's amendment will be greatly appreciated. It is suggested that such amendment may be optionally supplemented by a phone conversation and confirmed by form PTOL-327, Box 4b, so as to expedite the formal allowance of this application.

> > Respectfully submitted,

Richard L. Miller

Reg. No. 26,309

Agent for Applicant

Date: July 15, 2007

BY:

32 Richard L. Miller 33 12 Parkside Drive

34 Dix Hills, N.Y. 11746-4879

35 36 PHONE NUMBER: (631) 499-4343

37

Paper No.: 3 S.N.: 10/790557

Agt. Doc. No.: WANF80A Page 9 of 9